

The truncated Fourier operator. II

Victor Katsnelson Ronny Machluf

Abstract

For E being one of the three sets: the whole real axis, a finite symmetric interval and the positive semiaxis, we discuss the simplest differential operators of the second order which commute with the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_E$.

2 Operators which commute with the truncated Fourier operator

Let F be a linear operator acting in a vector space $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, and let L be another linear operator acting in $\mathcal{X}$. We assume that the operator L commutes with F that is the equality

$$FLx = LFx \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \quad (2.1)$$

holds. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, Let $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ be the eigenspace of the operator L corresponding the eigenvalue λ :

$$\mathcal{X}_\lambda = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : Lx = \lambda x\}. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that

$$F\mathcal{X}_\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\lambda, \quad (2.3)$$

that is $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ is an invariant subspace of the operator F .

Mathematics Subject Classification: (2000). Primary 47A38; Secondary 47B35, 47B06, 47A10.

Keywords: Truncated Fourier operator, differential operators commuting with the truncated Fourier operator on finite or semi-infinite interval.

Thus the use of operators L commuting with the given operator F may be a helpful tool for study of the operator F if an information on spectral properties of L is available.

We apply this reasoning taking the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ as the operator F .

1. First of all, we consider the case when the set E is symmetric, that is $E = -E$.

On the symmetric set E , the symmetry transformation $t \rightarrow -t$ acts. This transformation generates the operator

$$\mathcal{J}x(t) = x(-t), \quad \mathcal{J} : L^2(E) \rightarrow L^2(E). \quad (2.4)$$

The operator $\mathcal{J}$ possesses the properties:

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = I, \quad \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^*, \quad (2.5)$$

I in (2.5) is the identity operator in $L^2(E)$. The spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{J}$ consists of two points: $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = -1$, which are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}$. We denote the appropriate eigenspaces of $\mathcal{J}$ by $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$:

$$\mathcal{X}_e = \{x \in L^2_E : \mathcal{J}x = x, \text{ that is } x(t) = x(-t), \ t \in E; \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\mathcal{X}_o = \{x \in L^2_E : \mathcal{J}x = -x, \text{ that is } x(t) = -x(-t), \ t \in E; \quad (2.6b)$$

(The subspace $\mathcal{X}_e$ consists of even functions, the subspace $\mathcal{X}_o$ — of odd.) It is clear that

$$L^2(E) = \mathcal{X}_e \oplus \mathcal{X}_o. \quad (2.7)$$

The operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ commutes with $\mathcal{J}$:

$$\mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}_E = \mathcal{F}_E\mathcal{J}, \quad (2.8a)$$

and also

$$\mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}_E^* = \mathcal{F}_E^*\mathcal{J}. \quad (2.8b)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_E\mathcal{X}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X}_e, \quad \mathcal{F}_E\mathcal{X}_o \subseteq \mathcal{X}_o; \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_E^*\mathcal{X}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X}_e, \quad \mathcal{F}_E^*\mathcal{X}_o \subseteq \mathcal{X}_o. \quad (2.9b)$$

So the pair of complementary subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e, \mathcal{X}_o$ reduces each of the operators $\mathcal{F}_E$ and $\mathcal{F}_E^*$:

$$\mathcal{F}_E = \mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_e} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_o}, \quad (2.10a)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_E^* = \mathcal{F}_{E^*|\mathcal{X}_e}^* \oplus \mathcal{F}_{E^*|\mathcal{X}_o}^*, \quad (2.10b)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_e}$, $\mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_o}$, $\mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_e}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_o}^*$ are the restrictions of the operators $\mathcal{F}_E$ and $\mathcal{F}_E^*$ onto their invariant subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ respectively. According to Theorem 1.3, the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, as well as the adjoint operator $\mathcal{F}_E^*$, are normal operators in $L^2(E)$. The restriction of a normal operator onto its reducing subspace is a normal operator as well. Therefore the operators $\mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_e}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{E|\mathcal{X}_o}$ are normal operators acting in the spaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ respectively.

The relation

$$\mathcal{F}_E^* = \mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{J} \quad (2.11)$$

is crucial for us. Since

$$\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{X}_e} = I_{\mathcal{X}_e}, \quad (I_{\mathcal{X}_e} - \text{the identity operator in } \mathcal{X}_e), \quad (2.12a)$$

$$\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{X}_o} = -I_{\mathcal{X}_o}, \quad (I_{\mathcal{X}_o} - \text{the identity operator in } \mathcal{X}_o), \quad (2.12b)$$

then

$$(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e} = (\mathcal{F}_E^*)|_{\mathcal{X}_e} \quad (2.13a)$$

$$(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o} = -(\mathcal{F}_E^*)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}. \quad (2.13b)$$

It is also clear that $(\mathcal{F}_E^*)|_{\mathcal{X}_e} = ((\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e})^*$, $(\mathcal{F}_E^*)|_{\mathcal{X}_o} = ((\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o})^*$. (The operator which is adjoint to the restricted operator is equal to the restriction of the adjoint operator.)

Thus, the operators $(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e}$ and $i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}$ are selfadjoint operators in the subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ of even and odd functions from $L^2(E)$ respectively. These operators are nothing more than the cosine and sine Fourier transformation:

$$\text{For } x \in \mathcal{X}_e, \quad F_E x(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_E \cos(t\xi) x(\xi) d\xi, \quad t \in E, \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\text{For } x \in \mathcal{X}_o, \quad F_E x(t) = i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_E \sin(t\xi) x(\xi) d\xi, \quad t \in E, \quad (2.14b)$$

Each of the subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ is invariant with respect to each of operators $\mathcal{F}_E^2$ and $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$. Taking into account (2.11) and (2.12), we see that

$$(\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_e})^2 = (\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e} \quad (2.15a)$$

$$(\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_o})^2 = -(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}. \quad (2.15b)$$

The operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$, and its restrictions $(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e}$, $(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}$ onto invariant subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$, $\mathcal{X}_o$ are non-negative contractive operators.

Therefore, the spectra $\sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e})$ and $\sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o})$ of the operators $(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e}$, $(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}$ are subsets of the unit interval of the real axis:

$$\sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e}) \subseteq [0, 1], \quad \sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}) \subseteq [0, 1]. \quad (2.16)$$

According to the spectral mapping theorem (see, for example, [GGK, Chapter I, Theorem 1.3]),

$$(\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e})^2 = \sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e}), \quad (\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o})^2 = -\sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}), \quad (2.17)$$

hence

$$(\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e})^2 \subseteq \sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)), \quad -(\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o})^2 \subseteq \sigma((\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)). \quad (2.18)$$

In particular,

$$\sigma((\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_e}) \subseteq [-1, 1], \quad \sigma((\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_o}) \subseteq [-i, i] \quad (2.19)$$

(In (2.19), $[-i, i] = \{z = iy \in \mathbb{C} : -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$.)

So, we proof the following

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the set E is symmetric.*

Then:

1. *The space $L^2(E)$ is the orthogonal sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ of even and odd functions, (2.6): $L^2(E) = \mathcal{X}_e \oplus \mathcal{X}_o$.*
2. *Each of the subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ is invariant with respect the operators $\mathcal{F}_E$,*

$$\mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{X}_e \subseteq \mathcal{X}_e, \quad \mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{X}_o \subseteq \mathcal{X}_o.$$

The pair of complementary subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ reduces the operators $\mathcal{F}_E$:

$$\mathcal{F}_E = \mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_e} \oplus \mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_o},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_e}$ are the restrictions of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ onto its invariant subspaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_o$ respectively.

The operators $\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_e}$ and $\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_o}$ are integral transforms: cosine and sine Fourier transforms respectively, (2.14).

3. *The operators $\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_e}$ and $i^{-1}\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_o}$ are selfadjoint contractive operators acting in the spaces $\mathcal{X}_e$ and $\mathcal{X}_e$ respectively.*
4. *The operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ is a normal operator, and the operators $\mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_e} \oplus 0$ and $0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_E|_{\mathcal{X}_o}$ are real and imaginary parts of $\mathcal{F}_E$.*

5. The spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ is a subset of the cross Q , where $Q = [-1, 1] \cup [-i, i]$.

More precisely, (2.15), if point $\lambda \in Q$ belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, then the point $|\lambda|^2$ belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$. Conversely, if the point ρ^2 , where $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$, then at least one of the four points $i^k \rho$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_E)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$.

6. If the point ρ^2 is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$ of multiplicity $\kappa(\rho^2, \mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E)$, then at least one of the four points $i^k \rho$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, and the total multiplicity $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \kappa(i^k \rho, \mathcal{F}_E)$ of these eigenvalues is equal to

$$\kappa(\rho^2, \mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E).$$

In particular, if the point ρ^2 is a simple eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$, then precisely one of the four points $i^k \rho$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ and this eigenvalue is simple.

In fact, our Theorem 2.1 puts in order what is presented in [Sl1, Section III]. As we shall see below, if the set E is not symmetric, the spectral properties of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ may be quite different.

2. Less trivial examples of operators which commute with the truncated Fourier operator are differential operators. We restrict ourselves to consideration of *selfadjoint* differential operators only. The spectrum of an ordinary differential operator is of finite multiplicity, which does not exceed the order of the operator. If a selfadjoint differential operator $\mathcal{L}$ commutes with the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, then every eigenspace $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant with respect to $\mathcal{F}_E$. If moreover the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete (i.e. if the system of its eigenvector is complete), then we find the complete orthogonal system $\{\mathcal{X}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{L})}$ of finite dimensional subspaces each of them is invariant with respect to $\mathcal{F}_E$. (And the dimension of each $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$ does not exceed the order of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$). The further spectral analysis of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ is reduced to the spectral analysis of the restriction $(\mathcal{F}_E)|_{\mathcal{X}_\lambda}$ of $\mathcal{F}_E$ onto $\mathcal{L}$. If moreover the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is simple, i.e. if $\dim \mathcal{X}_\lambda = 1$ for every λ (λ are pairwise different), then the system of the appropriate eigenvectors of $\mathcal{L}$ forms also the basis of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{F}_E$.

Usually the study of properties of eigenfunctions (such as its asymptotic behavior) of differential operators may be done easier and in more detail than the study of this properties for eigenfunctions of integral

operator if this integral operator has no singularities. Therefore the availability of a differential operators $\mathcal{L}$ which commutes with $\mathcal{F}_E$ may be very useful for the spectral analysis of $\mathcal{F}_E$. However, the existence of such $\mathcal{L}$ is a *lucky accident*, and to our best knowledge there is no regular way to search for such $\mathcal{L}$.

Until the present time, the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$ were discussed mainly which commutes with the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$ rather with the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, that is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E) = (\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E) \mathcal{L}.$$

For the set $E = (-\infty, \infty)$, the differential operator generated by the formal differential expression

$$(L_E x)(t) = -\frac{d^2 x(t)}{dt^2} + t^2 x(t) \quad (2.20)$$

commutes with $\mathcal{F}_E$. This fact has been known and has been exploited since long ago.

For E being a finite symmetric interval, say $E = (-a, a)$, $0 < a < \infty$, the differential operator which commutes with $\mathcal{F}_E$, has been discovered in [SlPo] and has been exploited in [LaP1], [LaP2], and since in further works. This operator is generated by the differential expression

$$(L_E x)(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + t^2 x(t). \quad (2.21)$$

and by certain boundary conditions which will be described later. It is not inconceivable that the fact that the differential operator generated by the the differential expression (2.21) commutes with the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$, $E = [-a, a]$, was known before the the serie of work [SlPo]). But surely it is the work [SlPo] where this fact was emphasized and was firstly used for the spectral analysis of the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$. (In fact, this differential operator commutes not only with the operator $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$, but also with the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$, $E = [-a, a]$.) In [Sl1] the multidimensional generalizations of the results obtained in [SlPo], [LaP1], [LaP2] were obtained. In [Sl2], the discrete analogs of some results of [SlPo], [LaP1], [LaP2] were considered. However, the main break through was put into effect in [SlPo], [LaP1], [LaP2]. For sets E which are different from the whole real axes or from finite symmetric interval no differential operators commuting with $\mathcal{F}_E$ or $\mathcal{F}_E^* \mathcal{F}_E$ are found. In [Wal], the related problem was considered concerning differential which commutes with the indicator function of the set E .

There is lot of serendipity in the fact that such differential operator exists and was found in the case $E = [-a, a]$, $a \in (0, \infty)$. The common feeling is that ¹ *"there is something deeper here that we currently understand – that there is a way of viewing these problems more abstractly that will explain heir elegant solution in a more natural and profound way, so that these nice results will not appear so much as lucky accident."* Starting from early eighties, F.A. Grünbaum takes many efforts to explain the phenomenon from a more general point of view. In particular, he related the phenomenon of the existence of a differential operator commuting with certain integral operators to the phenomenon of bispectrality. The bispectrality phenomenon lies in the fact that certain differential (or difference) operators admit the family of eigenfunctions satisfying some differential (or difference) equation with respect to the spectral parameter. See [DuGr], [Gru1], [Gru2], and other works of F.A. Grünbaum. There is a literature devoted to the bispectrality, see for example [HaKa].

However, these efforts, being interesting in its own right, did not furnish the desired result up to now.

For $E = [0, +\infty)$, the differential operator generated by the differential expression

$$(L_E x)(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(t^2 \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \quad (2.22)$$

commutes with both operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ and $\mathcal{F}_E^*$.

Remark 2.1. *The "factor" $\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right)$, as well as the "factor" t^2 , appearing in the expression (2.21) and (2.22) respectively, play the following role. Checking the commutativity of the differential operator generated by the differential expression L_E , (2.21) and (2.22) respectively, we have to integrate by parts. The above mentioned factors ensure that the terms outside the integral vanish. Moreover, in order to these terms vanish the appropriate boundary conditions on functions from the domain of definition of the differential operator generated by L should be imposed.*

Let us check the commutativity condition for the differential expressions L_E , (2.20), (2.21), (2.22), related to the Fourier operators $\mathcal{F}_E$ truncated on the sets $E = (-\infty, \infty)$, $E = [-a, a]$, and $E = [0, +\infty)$ respectively. (In fact, in the first case, the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$ is 'non-truncated' Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$.) In the present section, we are not concerned with

¹Here we quote from [Sl2, page 379]

the detailed description of the domains, where the appropriate operators are defined. Here we only carry out the formal integration by parts and compute the terms outside the integral in every of this three cases of E : $E = (-\infty, \infty)$, $E = [-a, a]$, and $E = [0, +\infty)$. The interpretation of the vanishing condition for the terms outside the integral as boundary condition imposed on functions from the domain of definition of the appropriate differential operator will be discussed in the next section.

Let us calculate the difference $\mathcal{F}_E L_E x - L_E \mathcal{F}_E x$ in the above mentioned three cases: $E = (-\infty, \infty)$, $E = [-a, a]$, and $E = [0, +\infty)$

1. The case $E = (-\infty, \infty)$.

Let us transform the expression $\mathcal{F}_E L_E x$:

$$(\mathcal{F}_E L_E x)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{d^2 x}{d\xi^2} + \xi^2 x(\xi) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi$$

Integrating twice by parts, we obtain

$$\int_a^b \left(-\frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi = -\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} e^{it\xi} \Big|_{\xi=a}^{\xi=b} + it x(\xi) e^{it\xi} \Big|_{\xi=a}^{\xi=b} + t^2 \int_a^b x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi. \quad (2.23)$$

The integration by parts is possible under the condition:

The function $x(\xi)$ is differentiable on every finite interval $(a, b) \subset (-\infty, \infty)$, and its derivative $\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on every finite interval.

Now, assuming that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} \right| d\xi < \infty \quad (2.24)$$

and moreover that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} x(a) = 0, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=a} = 0, \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} x(b) = 0, \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=b} = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

we can pass to the limit in (2.23) as $a \rightarrow -\infty$, $b \rightarrow \infty$. We obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi = t^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi \quad \text{for all } t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (2.26a)$$

From the other hand,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^2 x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi \quad \text{for all } t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (2.26b)$$

assuming that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^2 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $x(\xi)$ be a function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$.*

Assume that the conditions

$$\text{a). } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^2 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty \quad \text{b). } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} \right| d\xi < \infty \quad (2.27)$$

hold. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad (2.28)$$

and the condition (2.25) holds.

Proof. To prove (2.28), it is enough to prove that $\int_{-1}^1 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$.

Since for every $\alpha, \beta : -\infty < \alpha, \beta < \infty$, the equality $\frac{dx}{d\xi}(\beta) - \frac{dx}{d\xi}(\alpha) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} d\xi$ holds, it follows from the conditions (2.27.b) that

there exist the limits $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=a}, \lim_{b \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=b}$. From the condition (2.27.a) it follows that these limits are equal to zero. Therefore,

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} d\xi = - \int_t^{\infty} \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} d\xi$$

In particular,

$$\left| \frac{dx(t)}{dt} \right| \leq M, \quad \text{where } M = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} \right| d\xi,$$

and the function $x(t)$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition.

$$|x(t_2) - x(t_1)| \leq M|t_2 - t_1| \quad \forall t_1, t_2.$$

All the more, $\int_{-1}^1 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$. From (2.27.a) and the Lipschitz condition it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} x(t) = 0$. $\square$

The above reasoning proves the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let $x(\xi)$ be a function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The function $x(\xi)$ is differentiable on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and its derivative $\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on every finite interval $(a, b) \subset (-\infty, \infty)$.*

$$2. \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^2 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} \right| d\xi < \infty$$

Then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$, the function $y(t)$,

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi, \quad (2.29)$$

is twice continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, \infty)$, and

$$-\frac{d^2 y(t)}{dt^2} + t^2 y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{d^2 x(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + \xi^2 x(\xi) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (2.30)$$

II. The case $\mathbf{E} = (-a, a)$, $0 < a < \infty$.

Let us transform the expression $\mathcal{F}_E L_E x$:

$$(\mathcal{F}_E L_E x)(t) = \int_{-a}^a \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) + \xi^2 x(\xi) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi.$$

Integrating by parts twice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-a+\varepsilon}^{a-\varepsilon} \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi = \\
& = -\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} e^{it\xi} \Big|_{\xi=-a+\varepsilon}^{\xi=a-\varepsilon} + it \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) x(\xi) e^{it\xi} \Big|_{\xi=-a+\varepsilon}^{\xi=a-\varepsilon} - \\
& \quad - it \int_{-a+\varepsilon}^{a-\varepsilon} x(\xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) e^{it\xi} \right) d\xi. \quad (2.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that

$$\int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right| d\xi < \infty \quad (2.32)$$

and moreover that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -a+0} (\xi + a) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow a-0} (\xi - a) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

We shall show, (see Lemma 2.2 below), that under the condition (2.32), the conditions

$$\int_{-a}^a |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -a} (\xi + a) \cdot x(\xi) = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow a} (\xi - a) \cdot x(\xi) = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

hold. Hence, we can pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$ in (2.31):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-a}^a \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi = \\
& = -it \int_{-a}^a x(\xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) e^{it\xi} \right) d\xi. \quad (2.36)
\end{aligned}$$

Transforming the integral in the right hand side of (2.36) and denoting $y(t) = \int_{-a}^a x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d(\xi)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -it \int_{-a}^a x(\xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2}\right) e^{it\xi} \right) d\xi &= t^2 y(t) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{d^2 y(t)}{dt^2} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right) + t^2 y(t) - \int_{-a}^a \xi^2 x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $x(\xi)$ be a function defined on the open interval $(-a, a)$. We assume that:*

1. *The function $x(\xi)$ is differentiable on $(-a, a)$ and that its derivative $\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of the interval $(-a, a)$.*
2. *The condition (2.32) is satisfied.*

Then, the condition

$$|x(\xi)| = O\left(|\ln |\xi + a||\right) \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow -a, \quad |x(\xi)| = O\left(|\ln |\xi - a||\right) \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow a, \quad (2.37)$$

holds, the function $x(\xi)$ is summable on the interval $(-a, a)$, (2.34), and satisfy the boundary condition (2.35).

Proof. Let

$$-a < \alpha < \beta < a.$$

Since

$$\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\beta} - \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\alpha} = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) d\xi,$$

it follows from (2.32) that there exist finite limits

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -a+0} \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\alpha}, \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow a-0} \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2}\right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\beta}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\xi + a|}\right) \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow -a, \quad \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\xi - a|}\right) \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow a.$$

Integrating, we obtain (2.37). The conditions (2.34) (2.35) are direct consequences of (2.37). $\square$

The above reasoning proves the following

Theorem 2.3. *Let $x(\xi)$ be a function defined on $(-a, a)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The function $x(\xi)$ is differentiable on $(-a, a)$ and its derivative $\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of the interval $(-a, a)$.*

2.
$$\int_{-a}^a \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right| d\xi < \infty.$$

3. *The boundary conditions*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -a+0} (\xi + a) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow a-0} (\xi - a) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0. \quad (2.38)$$

hold.

Then

$$\int_{-a}^a |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad (2.39)$$

the function $y(t)$,

$$y(t) = \int_{-a}^a x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi, \quad (2.40)$$

is defined for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and is an entire function of exponential type. The following commutational relation holds

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right) + t^2 y(t) = \\ & = \int_{-a}^a \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) + \xi^2 x(\xi) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

III. The case $\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{0}, \infty)$.

Let us transform the expression $\mathcal{F}_E L_E x$:

$$(\mathcal{F}_E L_E x)(t) = \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi.$$

Integrating twice by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^N \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi = \\ & -\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} e^{it\xi} \Big|_{\xi=a}^{\xi=b} + it \xi^2 x(\xi) e^{it\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\varepsilon}^{\xi=N} - it \int_{\varepsilon}^N x(\xi) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 e^{it\xi} \right) \right) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Let us assume that

$$\text{a). } \int_0^{\infty} (1 + \xi^2) |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad \text{b). } \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right| d\xi < \infty. \quad (2.43)$$

We show, (see Lemma 2.2 below), that under the conditions (2.43), the terms out the integral in (2.42) vanish as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, under the conditions (2.43), we can pass to the limit in (2.42) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi = -it \int_0^{\infty} x(\xi) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 e^{it\xi} \right) \right) d\xi. \quad (2.44)$$

Transforming the integral in the right hand side of (2.44) and denoting $y(t) = \int_0^{\infty} x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d(\xi)$, we obtain

$$-it \int_0^{\infty} x(\xi) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 e^{it\xi} \right) \right) d\xi = -t^2 \frac{d^2 y(t)}{dt^2} - 2t \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(t^2 \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right).$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x(\xi)$ be a function defined on the open semi-bounded interval $(0, \infty)$. We assume that:*

1. *The function $x(\xi)$ is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and that its derivative $\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of the interval $(0, \infty)$.*
2. *The conditions (2.43) hold.*

Then the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +0} \xi^2 x(\xi) = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +0} \xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0, \quad (2.45a)$$

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi^2 x(\xi) = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0. \quad (2.45b)$$

are satisfied.

Proof. Let

$$0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty.$$

Since

$$\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\beta} - \xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\alpha} = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) d\xi,$$

it follows from (2.43.b) that there exist finite limits

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} c_0 = \xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\alpha}, \quad c_{\infty} = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \Big|_{\xi=\beta}.$$

Since $x(\xi_2) - x(\xi_1) = \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} d\xi$, then

$$x(\xi) = -\frac{c_0}{\xi} + o(|\xi|^{-1}) \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow +0.$$

Since $\int_0^1 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$, $c_0 = 0$. Thus, the boundary conditions (2.45a) hold. In the same way,

$$x(\xi) = -\frac{c_{\infty}}{\xi} + o(|\xi|^{-1}) \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\int_1^{\infty} |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$, $c_{\infty} = 0$. Thus, the boundary condition

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} = 0$$

is satisfied.

The equality $c_{\infty} = 0$ ensure that $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} (\xi^2 x(\xi)) \right| = 0$. Thus, the function $\xi^2 x(\xi)$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition at ∞ . (Even more,

the Lipschitz constant equals zero asymptotically.)) The convergence of the integral: $\int_0^\infty \xi^2 |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$ together with the Lipschitz condition for the function $\xi^2 x(\xi)$, which appears under the integral, ensure that this function vanishes at infinity. In other words, the boundary condition

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi^2 x(\xi) = 0$$

is satisfied. $\square$

The above reasoning proves the following

Theorem 2.4. *Let $x(\xi)$ be a function defined on $(0, \infty)$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The function $x(\xi)$ is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ and its derivative $\frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi}$ is absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of the interval $(0, \infty)$.*
2.
$$\int_0^\infty (1 + \xi^2) |x(\xi)| d\xi < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right| d\xi < \infty.$$

Then the function $y(t)$:

$$y(t) = \int_0^\infty x(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi, \quad (2.46)$$

is defined for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is twice continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$, and the following commutation relation holds:

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \left(t^2 \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right) = \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dx(\xi)}{d\xi} \right) \right) e^{it\xi} d\xi, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.47)$$

Remark 2.2. *In Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, no boundary conditions on the function $x(\xi)$ were posed at the endpoints of the interval. However, in Theorems 2.3 the boundary conditions (2.38) on the function $x(\xi)$ were posed. This is felt to be tied to the following fact. Let $\mathcal{L}_E^0$ be the minimal differential operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(E)$ which is generated by the differential expression L_E on the domain of definition $\mathcal{D}_0$, which consists of the set of all finite smooth functions on E . The minimal differential operator $\mathcal{L}_E^0$ is symmetric. In the cases $E = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $E = (0, \infty)$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_E^0$ is an essentially selfadjoint operator*

on $\mathcal{D}_0$. This means that the closure $\overline{\mathcal{L}_E^0}$ of this operator is a selfadjoint operator. However, in the case $E = -a, a, 0 < a < \infty$, the operator $\overline{\mathcal{L}_E^0}$ is symmetric, but not self-adjoint. The deficiency indices of this operator are equal to $(2, 2)$. Selfadjoint extensions of this operator form two-parametric family and may be described by boundary conditions at the endpoints. Only one of these extensions commute with the operator $\mathcal{F}_E$. This is the extension that corresponds to the boundary conditions (2.38). (We need to seek for selfadjoint operators which commute with $\mathcal{F}_E$ rather than symmetric one. These are selfadjoint operators which admit the spectral decomposition.)

References

- [DuGr] DUISTERMAAT, J.J., GRÜNBAUM, F.A. *Differential equations in spectral parameters*. Commun. Math. Phys., **103** (1986), 177-240.
- [GGK] GOHBERG, I., GOLDBERG, S., KAASHOEK, M.A. *Classes of Linear Operators. Vol. 1*. Birkhäuser, Basel•Boston•Berlin 1990.
- [Gru1] GRÜNBAUM, F.A. *Band-time-band limited integral operators and commuting differential operators*. Алгебра и Анализ, **8:1** (1996), 122-126= St. Petersburg Math. J., **8:1** (1997), 93-96.
- [Gru2] GRÜNBAUM, F.A. *Some bispectral musings*. pp.31-45 in [HaKa].
- [GrYa] GRÜNBAUM, F.A., YAKIMOV, M. *The prolate spheroidal phenomenon as a consequence of bispectrality*. pp.301-312 in [TWHMPR].
- [HaKa] HARNAD, J., KASMAN, A.-ed. *The bispectral problem. Papers from the CRM Workshop held at the Université de Montreal, Montreal, PQ, March 1997*. CRM Proceedings & Lecture Notes, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. viii+235 pp.
- [LaP1] LANDAU, H., POLLAK, H.O. *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty –II*. Bell System Techn. Journ. **40** (1961), 65-84.
- [LaP2] LANDAU, H., POLLAK, H.O. *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty –III: The dimension of the space of essentially time- and band-limited signals*. Bell System Techn. Journ. **40** (1961), 1295-1336.
- [Mo1] MORRISON, J.A. *On the eigenfunctions corresponding to the bandpass kernel, in the case of degeneracy*. Quarterly of Appl. Math., **21** (1963), 13-19.
- [Mo2] MORRISON, J.A. *Eigenfunctions of the finite Fourier transform operator over a hyperellipsoidal region*. Journ. Math. and Mech., **44** (1965), 245-254.
- [Mo2] MORRISON, J.A. *Dual formulation for the eigenfunctions correspondind to the bandpass kernel, in the case of degeneracy*. Journ. Math. and Mech., **44** (1965), 313-326.

- [Sl1] SLEPIAN, D. *Prolated spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty – IV: Extension to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions*. Bell System Techn. Journ. **43** (1964), 3009 - 3057.
- [Sl2] SLEPIAN, D. *Some comments on Fourier analysis, uncertainty and modelling*. SIAM Review, **25**:3, 1983, 379 - 393.
- [SlPo] SLEPIAN, D., POLLAK, H.O. *Prolated spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty – I*. Bell System Techn. Journ. **40** (1961), 43 - 63.
- [TWHMPR] TEMPESTA, P., WINTERITZ, P., HARNAD, J., MILLER, W., JR., POGOSYAN, G, RODRIGUES, M. *Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems*. (CRM Proc. & Lect. Notes, Vol.37.) Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, x+347 pp.
- [Wal] WALTER, G. *Differential operators which commute with characteristic functions with application to a lucky accident*. Complex Variables, **18** (1992), 7 - 12.

Victor Katsnelson
 Department of Mathematics
 The Weizmann Institute
 Rehovot, 76100, Israel
 e-mail:
 victor.katsnelson@weizmann.ac.il

Ronny Machluf
 Department of Mathematics
 The Weizmann Institute
 Rehovot, 76100, Israel
 e-mail:
 ronny-haim.machluf@weizmann.ac.il